Abstract—It is widely accepted that food supply and quality are major problems in the 21st century. Due to the growth of the world’s population, there is a pressing need to improve the productivity of agricultural crops, which hinges on different factors such as geographical location, soil type, weather condition and particular attributes of the crops to plant. In many regions of the world, information about those factors is not readily accessible and dispersed across a multitude of different sources. One of those regions is Nepal, in which the lack of access to this knowledge poses a significant burden for agricultural planning and decision making. Making such knowledge more accessible can boot up a farmer’s living standard and increase their competitiveness on national and global markets. In this article, we show how we converted several available, although not easily accessible, datasets to RDF, thereby lowering the barrier for data re-usage and integration. We describe the conversion, linking, and publication process as well as use cases, which can be implemented using the farming datasets in Nepal.

I. INTRODUCTION

Information and communication technologies (ICT) have gained significant importance in our lives across several domains. Agriculture is no exception and the coining of the term E-agriculture roots back to the rather recent World Summit of the Information Society in 2003\textsuperscript{1}. The key characteristics of E-agriculture are the dissemination, access and exchange of information. ICT can play a vital role to boot up a farmers’ living standard by providing relevant information. Nevertheless, in Nepal (a country with agricultural based economy) information such as crop geographical location, properties of soil, climate information and crop production normally are not publicly available. It is difficult for farmers to obtain access to such information and, therefore, they cannot benefit from for planning and decision making.

In the agriculture domain, various aspects have to be integrated to build a fully functioning system with all the information related to agriculture such as weather measurements, soil characteristics, new research results and findings, government policies, market information and inventory. All of such different data are produced by different bodies of the government and all of these departments are working rather independently with limited integration between them.

Taking the rice crop as an example, not only irrigation alone can improve its productivity, there are other factors\textsuperscript{2} such as soil status, weather conditions and rice water requirements during each of its sub-seasons. Due to the lack of integration between such heterogeneous data, extraction of information like how much irrigation is required for rice in a particular region on a particular day is difficult to obtain, which in turn leads to reduced farming efficiency.

Recently, many different agriculture related projects were established in Nepal, in particular by the Ministry of Irrigation\textsuperscript{3} and the Ministry of Agriculture Development\textsuperscript{4}. For instance, the Ground Water Irrigation Project\textsuperscript{5} was launched improve the rice productivity in Chitwan district, Nepal. While those initiatives provide relevant information, it is not published using established standards. For this reason, we convert information to Linked Open Data (LOD)\textsuperscript{6},\textsuperscript{7} using the RDF data model and established vocabularies. This allows not only to publish data conforming to W3C standards, but also to establish links between data sources, thereby enabling analysis methods going beyond those possible when using the original data sources in isolation.

In our work, we can draw on existing ontologies. In particular, AGROVOC\textsuperscript{8} is a controlled RDF vocabulary with around 32,000 concepts covering all of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) areas of interest including food, nutrition, agriculture, fisheries, forestry and environment. AGROVOC thesaurus is already mapped to many ontologies such as the FAO Biotechnology Glossary, EUROVOC, GEMET, Library of Congress Subject Headings (LCSH), NAL Thesaurus, Thesaurus for Economics (STW), Thesaurus for the Social Sciences (TheSoz), Geopolitical ontology, Dewey Decimal Classification (DDC), DBpedia\textsuperscript{9} and GeoNames.

The data management efforts performed are the first steps on a larger research agenda, which we publish in the context of ArgiNepalData project\textsuperscript{10}. In general, this article presents an application of web intelligence methods. A major contribution is the conversion and integration of data from five different sources (cf. Subsection III-A). In addition to providing the farming datasets as RDF, we designed an ontology for representing and aligning those heterogeneous datasets. This

\textsuperscript{1}http://www.doi.gov.np
\textsuperscript{2}http://www.dounepal.gov.np
\textsuperscript{3}http://www.doi.gov.np/projects/project.php?id=25
\textsuperscript{4}http://aims.fao.org/standards/agrovoc/linked-open-data
\textsuperscript{5}http://agrinepaldata.com

\textsuperscript{1}http://www.e-agriculture.org/e-agriculture
\textsuperscript{2}http://cals.arizona.edu/pubs/water/a21220
alignment enables inference of new knowledge from converted data. Moreover, we linked the dataset to DBpedia as well as AGROVOC and ensured therewith that our dataset abides by all Linked Data principles\(^5\).

The remainder of this application paper is structured as follows: In the subsequent section, we present a detailed description of the the framework used in our data conversion. Then, in Section III we describe each of the data sources used in our datasets. Moreover, we give an overview of the ontology that forms the background structure of our datasets (Section IV). We present the approach used to link the farming datasets in Nepal with different external datasets in Section V. Based on this, we present several usage scenarios for the datasets at hand (Section VII). Finally, we described related work and give concluding remarks.

II. METHODOLOGY

In order to generate the AgriNepalData datasets, we have adapted a data management framework (see Figure 1).

For the data management in AgriNepalData, we use the Linked Data Life-cycle vision as a base \(^3\) (see Figure 2). Below, we discuss each of the 8 lifecycle phases in the context of AgriNepalData:

- **Extraction:** The first step is the extraction of RDF from comma-separated values (CSV), HTML and shape files. We have used OpenRefine, TripleGeo and Sparqlify tools for this process. Detailed descriptions are given in Subsection III-B.
- **Storage and Querying:** For hosting the agriNepalData we need a triple store which can handle not only (1) different data types such as strings, numbers, dates and spatial point sets, but also (2) continuously growing size as more datasets converted and added. In order to fulfill the aforementioned requests we chose Virtuoso\(^10\). Virtuoso provides backward chaining OWL reasoning, geospatial/text indexing and query functionality through SPARQL endpoint. For querying the AgriNepalData user can use the provided endpoint\(^11\).
- **Manual revision and Authoring:** In order to minimize the error rate in the converted data we apply manual test cases. In some cases the manual testing led us to discover some discrepancies either in our conversion framework or in the data itself. In former case we refine our framework and in the later case we refined the data preprocessing phase. For example, when applying a manual test case for checking for the RDF district data, we notice missing some data for fruit production of the Kavrepalanchok District. We surprisingly found this district to have two different names in agriculture production dataset; Kavre for wool production (which was already considered as the name used by this dataset to this district) and Kavrepalanchok for fruit production (which is missing). Therefore, in the preprocessing phase, we added the Kavrepalanchok name to the list of synonyms of KavrepalanchokDistrict.
- **Interlinking:** The AgriNepalData datasets are interlinked with both DBpedia and AGROVOC datasets using LIMES (for more details see Section V).
- **Classification and Enrichment:** In this phase, we applied the GeoLift\(^12\) tool to enrich our dataset with additional geospatial data from other datasets like DBpedia and LinkedGeoData.
- **Quality and Analysis:** As any dataset is as good as its quality, we applied RDFUnit\(^5\) tool to measure the quality of data as well as set of manual verifications (see Section VI for more details).
- **Evolution and Repair:** After applying the manual test cases and the automated data quality tools we discovered a set of discrepancies, which we needed to repair. Once we repaired the discovered errors we re-ran the manual test cases as well as the automatic tools to increase the quality of the datasets.
- **Search and Browsing:** The Facete (Faceted Browser)\(^6\) tool is used to provide a visual searching and browsing interface. More detailed descriptions are given in Subsection VII-C.

III. DATASET DESCRIPTION

In this section, we first describe each of raw sources in detail. Since we obtain the source data from different sources, we cannot expect them to be homogeneous, which leads to challenges in the RDF conversion process. We illustrate the data conversion and those challenges in the second subsection.

\(^3\)http://www.w3.org/DesignIssues/LinkedData.html
\(^4\)http://stack.linkeddata.org/
\(^5\)http://aksw.org/Projects/GeoLift.html
\(^6\)http://aksw.org/Projects/GeoLift.html
\(^7\)http://virtuoso.openlinksw.com/rdf-quad-store/
\(^8\)http://agrinepaldata.com/sparql/
\(^9\)http://agrinepaldata.com/sparql/
\(^10\)http://aksw.org/Projects/GeoLift.html
A. Data sources

The raw datasets have been collected from five semi-structured sources

1) Agriculture Production Statistics of Nepal: This dataset is collected from the Ministry of Agricultural Development\(^{13}\) in Nepal. It contains the information about yearly production of different crops since 1990 to 2012. Furthermore, it provides details of the production of crops and livestock in each of Nepal’s districts in 2011/12. The raw dataset is freely available online in PDF format and as CSV file on request to ministry.

2) Weather Information: This dataset is collected from the Department Of Hydrology and Meteorology\(^{14}\) in Nepal. It contains information about daily rainfall of stations for Babai from 1980 to 2008 and West Rapti from 1980 to 2006. Additionally, it includes hourly weather information of Banepa from 2011 to 2012. The raw dataset is freely available online in HTML format and in CSV file format on request.

3) Crop Growing Days Information: This dataset is collected from FAO website\(^5\). It contains the information about crop growing days in each stage as well as crop coefficients in each stages. The raw dataset is freely available as HTML file.

4) Soil Information Of Nepal: The original dataset was called SOTER_Nepal, which is collected from the ISRIC - World Soil Information\(^{16}\). The SOTER_Nepal database provides generalized information on landform and soil properties at a scale 1:1 million. It consists of 17 SOTER units and characterized by 56 representative and four synthetic profiles for which there are no measured soil data. The raw dataset was in form of shape files. ISRIC encourages the provision and use of all its data for research, education and policy support.

5) Administrative Data of Nepal: This dataset is collected from the International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD)\(^{17}\), Nepal. This is based on topographic zonal map published by department of survey in different dates, which are more then 20 thematic layers covering entire Nepal. It contains the information about each of Nepal’s development regions, zones, districts, villages development committees (VDCs), wards, national parks, peaks and roads. The raw dataset was in form of shape files. ICIMOD offers free access for its data for free registered users.

B. Extraction Process

The data was extracted from various sources using three different formats (CSV, Shapefiles, HTML) for each of which we describe the conversion process below.

1) CSV to RDF Conversion: The crop statistics, weather information and crop growing days datasets are available in CSV format, but do not have any uniform structure beyond using the same format. First, we did some preprocessing such as removing special characters, unifying measurement units and filling missing data. Afterwards, The CSV files were converted to RDF using OpenRefine\(^{18}\) and Sparqlify\(^{7}\).

Listing 1 shows an example of converting one row of data of a CSV file to RDF format. Originally, the raw CSV data row was: PaddyTaplejung2011 Taplejung 10477 22167 2116 Paddy 2011/12, which shows statistics about the Paddy produced in from August 2011 to July 2012 in Taplejung district.

```sparql
PREFIX qdt: <http://www.w3.org/2001/06/sw-datatypes#>
PREFIX dbo: <http://dbpedia.org/ontology/>
PREFIX dbp: <http://dbpedia.org/property/>
PREFIX xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#>
PREFIX agro: <http://agrosoilinfo.org/agro#>
PREFIX agrd: <http://agrosoilinfo.org/agrdata#>
PREFIX agro: <http://agrosoilinfo.org/agro/>.

agro:PaddyTaplejung2011
  a agro:CerealCropProduction, time:TemporalEntity ;
  agro:inDistrict agrd:TaplejungDistrict ;
  agro:produce agrd:Paddy ;
  agro:production "22167"ˆˆdbo:tonne ;
  dbp:quantity "2116"ˆˆdbo:perHectare ;
  qdt:area "10477"ˆˆdbo:hectare ;
  qdt:time:hasBeginning "2011-08-01"ˆˆxsd:date ;
  qdt:time:hasEnd "2012-07-31"ˆˆxsd:date .
```

Listing 1. RDF Conversion for Paddy produced in year 2011/12 in Taplejung district

2) Shape to RDF Conversion: The original datasets of soil and administrative information are stored as shape files. Shape files hold spatial data information in form of polygon or points as well as some non-spatial information. The spatial information of the shape files are converted to RDF using TripleGeo\(^8\), while the non-spatial information of shape files is first extracted to CSV by using QGIS\(^9\) and then converted to RDF using OpenRefine.

Listing 2 shows an example of the conversion of the information contained in an ESRI shape file. The example

\(^{1}\) http://www.moad.gov.np/
\(^{2}\) http://www.dhm.gov.np/
\(^{3}\) http://www.lao.org/docrep/s2022e/s2022e00.htm
\(^{4}\) http://www.isric.org/data/soil-and-terrain-database-nepal
\(^{5}\) http://www.isric.org/data/soil-and-terrain-database-nepal
\(^{6}\) http://www.isric.org/data/soil-and-terrain-database-nepal
\(^{7}\) http://geoportal.icimod.org/downloads/
\(^{8}\) http://openrefine.org/
\(^{9}\) http://www.qgis.org/en/site/
shows the conversion of information on the district of *Gorkha* consisting of both spatial (polygon information in WKT format) as well as non spatial (name, area, region, zone, etc.) facts.

### HTML to RDF Conversion

The crop growing days information raw data was in form of HTML files. First we apply some manual selection of interesting pieces of data, which in most cases was in form of tables. Afterworlds, in a manner akin to the one used to convert CSV to RDF, the manually selected tables are converted to RDF using open *OpenRefine*.

After converting all datasets, the resulting RDF files contain more than 1.4 million triples with 327475 distinct subjects. Table I shows the number of triples as well as distinct subjects of the RDF conversion for each of the aforementioned datasets. Table II provides technical details about the *AgriNepalData* including the project website, dataset/ontology dumps, and SPARQL endpoint. Also, it includes version and license information.

### IV. Ontology

To integrate the data on schema level, we developed an extensible ontology vocabulary for our dataset. The vocabulary was specified with the aim of supporting any dataset dealing with agricultural aspects. Currently, our ontology includes 38 classes (see Figure 3) covering production, geography and weather aspects. More additional classes can be added to the ontology at hand to cover more aspects if necessary.

### V. Linking

We aimed to link our dataset with as many data sources as possible to ensure maximal reusability and integrability in existing platforms. All links are generated by using the LIMES framework. In this framework, heuristics can be defined for the similarity of RDF resources and all similarity values exceeding a particular threshold are considered links. So far, we have generated links to *DBpedia* as well as *AGROVOC*.

For example, Listing 3 shows a LIMES link specification for linking Nepal districts in *AgriNepalData* to equivalent

---

**Table I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source dataset</th>
<th># Triples</th>
<th># Subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture Production Statistics of Nepal</td>
<td>27625</td>
<td>2887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather Information</td>
<td>404808</td>
<td>42003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crop Growing Days Information</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil Information Of Nepal</td>
<td>21666</td>
<td>942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Data of Nepal</td>
<td>978288</td>
<td>281302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontology Related</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1433631</td>
<td>327475</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset name</th>
<th>Technical details of the AgriNepalData dataset.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>AgriNepalData</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project website</td>
<td><a href="http://agrinepaldata.com">http://agrinepaldata.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPARQL endpoint</td>
<td><a href="http://agrinepaldata.com/sparql">http://agrinepaldata.com/sparql</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dataset dump</td>
<td><a href="http://agrinepaldata.com/download/agrinepal.zip">http://agrinepaldata.com/download/agrinepal.zip</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontology</td>
<td><a href="http://agrinepaldata.com/download/agrinepaldataontology">http://agrinepaldata.com/download/agrinepaldataontology</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version date</td>
<td>15-03-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version number</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensing</td>
<td>(CC BY-NC-SA 3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VoID file</td>
<td><a href="http://agrinepaldata.com/download/void.ttl">http://agrinepaldata.com/download/void.ttl</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TheDataHub entry</td>
<td>AgriNepalData</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Listings:

1. agrd:GorkhaDistrict
2. a agrd:District;
3. rdfs:label "Gorkha district"@en;
4. agrd:codec "36";
5. agrd:hasPart agrd:Gandaki;
6. agrd:isDistrict agrd:GorkhaDistrict;
7. agrd:isZone agrd:Gandaki;
8. agrd:region agrd:Hill;
10. owl:hasValue "3645.866"^^db:double;
11. gsp:quantity "3645.866"^^db:double;
12. agrd:Geom_polygon_GorkhaDistrict.
13. a ogis:Polygon;

---

resources found in DBpedia. The link specifications used for other spatial resources such as zones and VDCs were essentially governed by similar metrics. Table III shows details of links between AgriNepalData and both DBpedia and AGROVOC.

VI. QUALITY MEASUREMENT

A. Link Verification

For each class that contains links, we evaluated the quality of the links generated by LIMES by manually checking 100 randomly selected links\(^{21}\). The manual check was carried out by the first two authors. A link was set to be correct if both authors agreed on it being correct. The results are shown in Table III.

For linking places and airports, the linking achieves a precision between 0.97% and 100%. This high precision value is because we configure LIMES to use a combination of two metrics: (1) the string matching between resources labels, and (2) the geo-spatial matching between resources’ Well-known text (WKT) using the Hausdorff\(^{10}\) metric (see Listing 3 for an example of combining string and spatial metrics ). The recall could not be computed manually due to the absence of ground truth.

For linking species, the linking achieves a precision between 0.91% and 0.93%. In this case, we used exact string matching as otherwise the precision turned out to be too low. As its name implies the exact match gives us only species with identical names to be linked.

B. Dataset Verification

For dataset verification, we used the RDFUnit\(^{22}\) framework. RDFUnit generates 956 test cases for all vocabularies used within AgriNepalData. Among 956 test cases, the results provided by RDFUnit shows that 935 test cases are passed, 3 are failed and 18 time out. Additionally, It shows that 65417 triples contain errors from a total of 1433631 triples, with average error rate of 0.045 per triple. Given that there are 327475 distinct subject in AgriNepalData, the average error per distinct subject is 0.199. All the failed test cases were due to some errors in the raw data. For example, one test case detected that all airports have

\(^{21}\)In cases where there are less than 100 links, we checked all the links

\(^{22}\)http://aksw.org/Projects/RDFUnit.html
TABLE III
NUMBER OF INTER-LINKS AND PRECISION VALUES OBTAINED BETWEEN AgriNepalData AND OTHER DATASETS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Links between</th>
<th>Link property</th>
<th>Source dataset</th>
<th>Source Instances</th>
<th>Target Dataset</th>
<th>Target Instances</th>
<th>Accepted Links</th>
<th>Verified Links</th>
<th>Precision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Places</td>
<td>owl:sameAs</td>
<td>AgriNepal</td>
<td>37161</td>
<td>DBpedia</td>
<td>754450</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>owl:sameAs</td>
<td>AgriNepal</td>
<td>1265</td>
<td>DBpedia</td>
<td>239194</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport</td>
<td>owl:sameAs</td>
<td>AgriNepal</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>DBpedia</td>
<td>12668</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AGROVOC</td>
<td>32294</td>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lacking of timely access to such necessary information may lead to less productivity and constrain him to his traditional methods of farming. In addition, it is possible to develop a farming mobile application so that farmer can access these information from the farm without any prior technical knowledge.

The crop water \( \text{ET}_{\text{crop}} \) is calculated as follows:

\[
\text{ET}_{\text{crop}} = E_{T_o} \times K_c
\]

where \( E_{T_o} \) is the crop evapotranspiration or crop water need (mm/day), \( K_c \) is the crop factor and \( E_{T_o} \) is reference evapotranspiration (mm/day). Each crop has its own growing stages during its season. In the case of wheat, it has an initial-season of 15 days, development-season of 25 days, mid-season of 50 days and late-season of 30 days. Moreover, each place has its specific \( E_{T_o} \) for every month.

Using our dataset, \textit{Listing 4} provides a SPARQL query for computing \( E_{T_{\text{crop}}} \) for each of the 120 days of the wheat season, thereby answering the question of Mr. Bhandari.

A. Irrigation In Field

Example: Mr. Bhandari who lives in Bhairawa, Nepal wants to know "How much irrigation water is required for a wheat plant which was planted in November 1 through out the life time of plant (120 days)?". To answer this, he first needs to know the weather condition. Therefore, he needs to look for the rainfall of each of the 120 days. Also, he needs to know the maximum and minimum temperature, humidity, wind status and sunshine hours. In addition, wheat as well as any other crops have their own crop specific water requirements. Finally, he needs to know the current water contained in soil which depends on soil type and previous rainfall status. To do so, he has to gather all of these pieces of information manually from different sources and update the information daily. Not only the process of finding all this information is tedious, but also the resulting information storage, update, and integration is hard.

B. Agriculture Planner, Policy Maker

The process of agriculture planning requires a significant amount of diverse knowledge to be available. A part of such knowledge is related to various \textit{crops' statistics}, e.g. information like how many types of crops are planted in a particular district in a particular year? Also, which district has the maximum agri-production of a particular crop? Furthermore, \textit{temporal information} is essential for long term agriculture planning, such as information related to a particular crop production in the last 10 years. Another part of agriculture
C. Agriculture Spatial Data Visualization

In order to understand the data, the spatial part of agriculture data like rainfall stations, airports and district are visualized using the Facete tool. Facete is a web-based exploration and visualization application enabling the spatial faceted browsing of data with a spatial dimension. Figure 4 demonstrates the information about the rainfall station location. The left section of the figure contains the selection field where we selected station properties and below the facet value can be seen. The middle section of the figure contain the information about data which is displayed according to the selection from left section. For example, a agriculture planner wants to know the numbers per district unit (tonne/km²) not only from our dataset, but also from DBpedia using federated query services provided by SPARQL 1.1.

Listing 5. Which districts are self dependent in their agri-products?

```sql
  <http://dbpedia.org> {?
    ?districtAreaKmSq ?population ?dbpediauri.
  }
  FROM <http://dbpedia.org>
  WHERE {
    ?dbpediauri dbp:area ?districtAreaKmSq;
    dbp:population ?population;
    dbp:title "Districts of Nepal"@en .
  }
  FILTER (lang(?district) = "en")
} ORDER BY ASC(?cropProdPerPerson)
```

VIII. RELATED WORK

A survey of the current status of agricultural linked open data can be found in [12]. Moreover, [13] introduces Agricultural Ontology Services (AOS) as well as ontology engineering techniques for the agriculture domain. AOS is a web-based multilingual vocabulary editing and work flow tool, which transforms thesauri, authority lists and glossaries into SKOS concept schemes for use in a linked data environment.

The work introduced by [14] in addition to declaring the AGROVOC Conceptual Scheme, it explains the integration between AGROVOC and both the Web Ontology Language (OWL) and the Simple Knowledge Organization System (SKOS) model. Using string similarity link discovery algorithms, [15] link AGROVOC thesauri with other datasets such as EUROVOC, NALT, GEMET, STW, LCSH and RAMEAU. The Agricultural Science and Technology (AGRIS) [16] is an important public domain database with currently around five million bibliographical records on agricultural science and technology. These records are classified using AGROVOC. The multi-source environmental knowledge framework (i-EKbase) proposed by [17] provides large-scale availability of relevant sensor-model data. Furthermore, they present lightweight ontologies based on extracted meta-data from heterogeneous data sources. The Kirby Smart Farm [18] is a prototypical livestock smart farm system with an architecture for rapid development, controlling quality of data and integrating with their underlying classification schemas based on the degree of content similarity.

IX. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

By providing the AgrinNepalData data sources as Linked Data and combining them with other datasets, it is now possible to obtain a variety of related agricultural information from one structured dataset. We have done an initial demonstration via SPARQL queries or tool deployments that the resulting data enables several relevant use cases. This is the first step on a larger research agenda aiming at an increase of productivity and efficiency of farming in Nepal. While our study is limited to Nepal, it can also be generalised to other countries in the mid and long term. In future work, we plan to convert more data from previous years to enable large-scale temporal analysis. Furthermore, we intend to include other data from other domains that can influence the agricultural process like transportation and trade. As an important extension to our work, we aim to implement question answering techniques to enable non-experts to access our dataset from the project web site and mobile applications. Developing
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